

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/791,257	ARNOLD ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Kevin M. Bernatz.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Ms. Melissa Haapala.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 May 2006

**Time:** AM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

4,477,794

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Examiner indicated that amendment to better clarify the structure of the stabilizing depressions and to positively recite that the depressions are used in a multi-layer sensor would appear to place the case into condition for allowance. The Examiner proposed claim amendments and applicants' agent agreed to the proposed changes..